## Assignment 32: Vector Fields in Space (14.6-8) Name\_ Please provide a handwritten response.

1a. Evaluate the surface integral  $\iint_{S} g(x, y, z) dS$  for  $\iint_{S} \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} dS$  where S is the hemisphere  $z = \sqrt{9 - x^2 - y^2}$ . You can begin by graphing the hemisphere over  $-3 \le x \le 3$ ,

 $-3 \le y \le 3, 0 \le z \le 3$ . Show your graph on the axes below.



**1b.** To evaluate the integral it is easier to parameterize the surface  $g(x, y, z) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ using cylindrical coordinates by letting  $x = r \cos(\theta)$ ,  $y = r \sin(\theta)$  and z = r where  $0 \le r \le 3$  and  $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$  than to evaluate it directly. To find *dS* you can use  $dS = ||t_r \times t_{\theta}|| dA$  where  $dA = r dr d\theta$ . Begin by defining  $t(r, \theta) = \langle r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, \sqrt{9 - r^2} \rangle$ . Then  $dS = ||t_r(r, \theta) \times t_{\theta}(r, \theta)||$  where  $n(r, \theta) = t_r(r, \theta) \times t_{\theta}(r, \theta)$  is a vector normal to the surface  $g(x, y, z) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ . Define  $n(r, \theta) = c rossP(d(t(r, \theta), r), d(t(r, \theta), \theta)))$ . Then  $dS = norm(n(r, \theta)) * r dr d\theta$  and  $\int (\int (\sqrt{9 - r^2}) * ||n(r, \theta)||, r, 0, 3), \theta, 0, 2\pi)$ . Evaluate this integral and record the result below.

2a. The flux integral is  $\iint_{s} F \cdot n dS$  where F(x, y, z) is the vector field  $\langle y, -x, 1 \rangle$  and n is a unit normal vector. Define f(x, y, z) = [y, -x, 1]. Parameterize S over  $0 \le u \le 10$  and  $0 \le v \le 4\pi$  by defining  $r(u, v) = [u * \cos(v), u * \sin(v), v]$  and normal vector nv(u, v) = crossP(d(r(u, v), u), d(r(u, v), v))). Define  $n(u, v) = \frac{-nv(u, v)}{\|nv(u, v)\|}$ . Calculate n(u, v) and record your result below.

**2b.** Taking the unit normal *n* to have positive z-component, would you expect  $\iint_{s} F \cdot n dS$  to be positive, negative or zero? Why?

1

**2c.** In order to find the integrand  $F \cdot ndS$ , you first need to find  $f(x, y, z)/x = u^* cos(v)$  and  $y = u^* sin(v)$  and  $z = v \rightarrow k(u, v)$  and then define fn(u, v) = dotP(k(u, v), nv(u, v)). The flux integral  $\int (\int (fn(u, v), u, 1, 10), v, 0, 4\pi)$  can now be evaluated<sup>1</sup>. Record your result below. Were your expectations in **2b** borne out?

**3a.** The Divergence Theorem can be used to compute  $\iint_{\partial Q} F \cdot n ds$  where  $F(x, y, z) = \langle x^3, y^3 - z, xy^2 \rangle$  and Q is bounded by  $z = x^2 + y^2$  and z = 4 where  $-2 \le x \le 2$  and  $0 \le y \le 2$ . The curl of F, *curl*  $F = \nabla \times F$  can be readily calculated once you **Define** *curl*  $f = [d(x^* y \wedge 2, y) - d(y \wedge 3 - z, z), d(x \wedge 3, z) - d(x^* y \wedge 2, x), d(y \wedge 3 - z, x) - d(x \wedge 3, y)]$  Record the result below. The divergence of F, *div*  $F = \nabla \cdot F$  is also readily calculated as *div*  $F = d(x \wedge 3, x) + d(y \wedge 3 - z, y) + d(x^* y \wedge 2, z)$ . Record the result below. Are these results correct?

**3b.** Now set up (by hand) an iterated integral giving  $\iiint_Q \nabla \cdot F(x, y, z) dV$  and use your calculator to evaluate it. Record the answer below.

3c. Stokes' Theorem tells you that  $\iint_{S} (\nabla \times F) \cdot n \, ds$  is the same whether *S* is the bottom "bowl" or the top "lid" of  $\partial Q$ . In **3a** you found the curl of *F*,  $\nabla \times F$ , into which you can substitute the components of  $\vec{r}(u,v) = [u^* \cos(v), u^* \sin(v), u^2]$ ,  $0 \le u \le 2, 0 \le v \le 2\pi$ . Define  $\vec{r}(u,v) = [u^* \cos(v), u^* \sin(v), u^2]$  and Define  $n\vec{v}(u,v) = crossP(d(r(u,v),u), d(r(u,v),v)))$ . You can now calculate  $curlf / x = u^* \cos(v) \text{ and } y = u^* \sin(v) \text{ and } z = u^2 \rightarrow h(u,v)$ . Execute the double integral  $\int (\int (dotP(h(u,v), nv(u,v)), u, 0, 2), v, 0, 2\pi)$  and record your result below. Now you can make slight modifications in the above to calculate  $\iint_{S} (\nabla \times F) \cdot n \, ds$  for the lid. Record the result below. Do the two results agree?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This integral evaluates very slowly.