Preface

The wide-ranging debate brought about by the calculus reform movement has had a significant impact on calculus textbooks. In response to many of the questions and concerns surrounding this debate, we have written a modern calculus textbook, intended for students majoring in mathematics, physics, chemistry, engineering, and related fields.

Our intention is that students should be able to read our book, rather than merely use it as an encyclopedia filled with the facts of calculus. We have written in a conversational style that reviewers have compared to listening to a good lecture. Our sense of what works well with students has been honed by teaching mathematics for more than a combined 50 years at a variety of colleges and universities, both public and private, ranging from a small liberal arts college to large engineering schools.

In an effort to ensure that this textbook successfully addresses our concerns about the effective teaching of calculus, as well as others' concerns, we have continually asked instructors around the world for their opinions on the calculus curriculum, the strengths and weaknesses of current textbooks, and the strengths and weaknesses of our own text. In preparing this third edition, as with the previous editions, we enjoyed the benefit of countless insightful comments from a talented panel of reviewers that was selected to help us with this project.

OUR PHILOSOPHY

We agree with many of the ideas that have come out of the calculus reform movement. In particular, we believe in the **Rule of Four:** that concepts should be presented **graphically**, **numerically**, **algebraically** and **verbally**, whenever these are appropriate. In fact, we would add **physically** to this list, since the modeling of physical problems is an important skill that students need to develop. We also believe that, while the calculus curriculum has been in need of reform, we should not throw out those things that already work well. Our book thus represents an updated approach to the traditional topics of calculus. We follow a mainstream order of presentation, while integrating technology and thought-provoking exercises throughout.

One of the thrusts of the calculus reform movement has been to place greater emphasis on problem solving and to present students with more realistic applications as well as open-ended problems. We have incorporated meaningful writing exercises and extended, open-ended problems into **every problem set**. You will also find a **much wider range of applications** than in most traditional texts. We make frequent use of applications from students' experience both to **motivate the development of new topics** and to illustrate concepts we have already presented. In particular, we have included numerous examples from a wide range of fields to give students a familiar context in which to think of various concepts and their applications. We believe that a conceptual development of the calculus must motivate the text. Although we have **integrated technology throughout**, we have not allowed the technology to drive the book. Our goal is to use the available technology to help students reach a conceptual understanding of the calculus as it is used today.

MOTIVATION AND UNDERSTANDING

Perhaps the most important task when preparing a calculus text is the actual *writing* of it. We have endeavored to write this text in a manner that combines an appropriate level of informality with an honest discussion regarding the difficulties that students commonly face in their study of calculus. In addition to the concepts and applications of calculus, we have also included many frank discussions about what is practical and impractical, and what is difficult and not so difficult to students in the course.

Our primary objectives are to find better ways to motivate students and facilitate their understanding. To accomplish this, we go beyond the standard textbook presentation and tell students **why** they are learning something, **how** they will use it, and **why** it is important. As a result students master problem-solving skills while also **learning how to think mathematically**, an important goal for most instructors teaching the calculus course.

This edition of our text incorporates an early introduction to all transcendental functions. Our students have seen these functions before they ever set foot in a calculus classroom, so we would like to take advantage of their familiarity. We introduce the calculus of these functions in Chapter 2, along with the other rules of differentiation. We have found that this early introduction allows for more varied examples and exercises in the applications of differentiation (including graphing), integration, and applications of integration.

In our view, techniques of integration remain of great importance. Our emphasis is on helping students develop the ability to carefully distinguish among similar-looking integrals and identify the appropriate technique of integration to apply to each integral. The attention to detail and mathematical sophistication required by this process are invaluable skills. We do not attempt to be encyclopedic about techniques of integration, especially given the widespread use of computer algebra systems. Accordingly, in section 6.5, we include a discussion of integration tables and the use of computer algebra systems for performing symbolic integration.

In addition to a focus on the central concepts of calculus, we have included several sections that are not typically found in other calculus texts, as well as expanded coverage of specific topics. This provides instructors with the flexibility to tailor their courses to the interests and abilities of each class.

- For instance, in section 1.7, we explore **loss-of significance errors.** Here, we discuss how computers and calculators perform arithmetic operations and how these can cause errors, in the context of numerical approximation of limits.
- In section 3.9, we present a diverse group of applications of differentiation, including chemical reaction rates and heart rates.
- Separable differential equations and logistic growth are discussed in section 7.2, followed by direction fields and Euler's method for first-order ordinary differential equations in section 7.3.
- In Chapter 8, we follow our discussion of power series and Taylor's Theorem with a section on **Fourier series.**
- In sections 9.1–9.3 we provide expanded coverage of parametric equations.
- In section 10.4 we include a discussion of Magnus force.

CALCULUS AND TECHNOLOGY

It is our conviction that graphing calculators and computer algebra systems must not be used indiscriminately. The focus must always remain on the calculus. We have ensured that each of our exercise sets offers an extensive array of problems that should be worked by hand. We also believe, however, that calculus study supplemented with an intelligent use of technology gives students an extremely powerful arsenal of problemsolving skills. Many passages in the text provide guidance on how to judiciously use—and not abuse—graphing calculators and computers. We also provide ample opportunity for students to practice using these tools. Exercises that are most easily solved with the aid of a graphing calculator or a computer algebra system are easily identified with a icon.

IMPROVEMENTS IN THE THIRD EDITION

Building upon the success of the Second Edition of *Calculus*, we have made the following revisions to produce an even better Third Edition:

Organization

- All transcendental functions are introduced early, and their calculus is covered with the calculus of algebraic functions, to accommodate instructors who prefer this approach.
- Differential equations receive substantially more coverage in Chapter 7.

Presentation

- A **thorough rewrite** of the book resulted in a **more concise and direct presentation** of all concepts and techniques.
- The entire text was redesigned for a **more open, clean appearance** to aid students in locating and focusing on essential information.

Exercises

- More challenging exercises appear throughout the book, and *Exploratory Exercises* conclude every section to encourage students to synthesize what they've learned.
- Technology icons now appear next to all exercises requiring the use of a computer algebra system.

Aesthetics and Relevance of Mathematics

- NEW Beyond Formulas boxes appear in every chapter to encourage students to think mathematically and go beyond routine answer calculation.
- *NEW Today in Mathematics* boxes appear in every chapter showing students that mathematics is a dynamic discipline with many discoveries continually being made by **people inspired by the beauty of the subject.**
- *NEW* The *Index of Applications* shows students of diverse majors the **immediate** relevance of what they are studying.

SUPPLEMENTS

INSTRUCTOR'S SOLUTIONS MANUAL (ISBN 978-0-07-327656-4)

An invaluable, timesaving resource, the Instructor's Solutions Manual contains comprehensive, worked-out solutions to the odd- and even-numbered exercises in the text.

STUDENT SOLUTIONS MANUAL (ISBN 978-0-07-286969-9)

The Student Solutions Manual is a helpful reference that contains comprehensive, workedout solutions to the odd-numbered exercises in the text.

INSTRUCTOR'S TESTING AND RESOURCE CD-ROM (ISBN 978-0-07-286962-0)

Brownstone Diploma[®] testing software, available on CD-ROM, offers instructors a quick and easy way to create customized exams and view student results. Instructors may use the software to sort questions by section, difficulty level, and type; add questions and edit existing questions; create multiple versions of questions using algorithmically-randomized variables; prepare multiple-choice quizzes; and construct a grade book.

MathZone www.mathzone.com

McGraw-Hill's MathZone is a cutting-edge, customizable web-based system that offers a complete solution to instructors' online homework, quizzing and testing needs. MathZone guides students through step-by-step solutions to practice problems and facilitates student assessment through the use of algorithmically-generated test questions. Student activity within the MathZone site is **automatically graded** and accessible to instructors in an integrated, exportable grade book.

MathZone also provides a wide variety of **interactive student tutorials**, including **new applets for every section** in the book to give students interactive practice on important concepts and procedures; algorithmic practice problems; **e-Professor**, a collection of step-by-step animated instructions for solving exercises from the text; **Calculus Concepts Videos;** and **NetTutor**, a live, personalized tutoring service offered via the Internet.

CALCULUS CONCEPTS VIDEOS (978-0-07-312476-6)

Students will see **essential concepts** explained and brought to life through **dynamic animations** in this new video series available on DVD and on the Smith/Minton MathZone site. The **twenty-five key concepts**, chosen after consultation with calculus instructors across the country, are the most commonly taught topics that students need help with and that also lend themselves most readily to on-camera demonstration.

ALEKS PREP FOR CALCULUS

ALEKS (Assessment and LEarning in Knowledge Spaces) is an artificial intelligence-based system for mathematics learning, available online 24/7. Using unique adaptive questioning, ALEKS accurately assesses what topics each student knows and then determines exactly what each student is ready to learn next. ALEKS interacts with the students much as a skilled human tutor would, moving between explanation and practice as needed, correcting and analyzing errors, defining terms and changing topics on request, and helping them master the course content more quickly and easily. **New ALEKS 3.0** now links to text-specific videos, multimedia tutorials, and textbook pages in PDF format. ALEKS also offers a

robust classroom management system that allows instructors to monitor and direct student progress toward mastery of curricular goals. See <u>www.highed.aleks.com</u>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A project of this magnitude requires the collaboration of an incredible number of talented and dedicated individuals. Our editorial staff worked tirelessly to provide us with countless surveys, focus group reports, and reviews, giving us the best possible read on the current state of calculus instruction. First and foremost, we want to express our appreciation to our sponsoring editor Liz Covello and our developmental editor Randy Welch for their encouragement and support to keep us on track throughout this project. They challenged us to make this a better book. We also wish to thank our publisher Liz Haefele, and director of development David Dietz for their ongoing strong support.

We are indebted to the McGraw-Hill production team, especially project manager Peggy Selle and design coordinator David Hash, for (among other things) producing a beautifully designed text. Cindy Trimble and Santo D'Agostino provided us with numerous suggestions for clarifying and improving the exercise sets and ensuring the text's accuracy. Our marketing manager Dawn Bercier has been instrumental in helping to convey the story of this book to a wider audience, and media producer Jeff Huettman created an innovative suite of media supplements.

Our work on this project benefited tremendously from the insightful comments we received from many reviewers, survey respondents and symposium attendees. We wish to thank the following individuals whose contributions helped to shape this book:

REVIEWERS OF THE THIRD EDITION

Kent Aeschliman, Oakland Community College Stephen Agard, University of Minnesota Charles Akemann, University of California, Santa Barbara Tuncay Aktosun, University of Texas at Arlington Gerardo Aladro, Florida International University Dennis Bila, Washtenaw Community College Ron Blei, University of Connecticut Joseph Borzellino, California Polytechnic State University Timmy Bremer, Broome Community College Qingying Bu, University of Mississippi Katherine Byler, California State University-Fresno Fengxin Chen, University of Texas at San Antonio Youn-Min Chou, University of Texas at San Antonio Leo G. Chouinard, University of Nebraska-Lincoln Si Kit Chung, The University of Hong Kong Donald Cole, University of Mississippi David Collingwood, University of Washington

Tristan Denley, University of Mississippi Jin Feng, University of Massachusetts, Amherst Carl FitzGerald, University of California, San Diego John Gilbert, University of Texas Rajiv Gupta, University of British Columbia Guershon Harel, University of California, San Diego Richard Hobbs, *Mission College* Shun-Chieh Hsieh, Chang Jung Christian University Josefina Barnachea Janier, University Teknologi Petronas Jakub Jasinski, University of Scranton George W. Johnson, University of South Carolina Nassereldeen Ahmed Kabbashi, International Islamic University G. P. Kapoor, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur Jacob Kogan, University of Maryland, Baltimore Carole King Krueger, University of Texas at Arlington Kenneth Kutler, Brigham Young University Hong-Jian Lai, West Virginia University

John Lawlor, University of Vermont Richard Le Borne, Tennessee Technological University Glenn Ledder, University of Nebraska-Lincoln Sungwook Lee, University of Southern Mississippi Steffen Lempp, University of Wisconsin-Madison Barbara MacCluer, University of Virginia William Margulies, California State University, Long Beach Mary B. Martin, Middle Tennessee State University James Meek, University of Arkansas Carrie Muir, University of Colorado Michael M. Neumann, Mississippi State University Sam Obeid, University of North Texas Iuliana Oprea, Colorado State University Anthony Peressini, University of Illinois Greg Perkins, Hartnell College Tan Ban Pin, National University of Singapore Linda Powers, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Mohammad A. Rammaha, University of Nebraska-Lincoln Richard Rebarber, University of Nebraska-Lincoln Edgar Reyes, Southeastern Louisiana University

Mark Smith, University of Illinois Donald Solomon, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Rustem Suncheleev, Universiti Putra Malaysia Anthony Thomas, University of Wisconsin-Platteville Anthony Vance, Austin Community College P. Veeramani, Indian Institute of Technology Madras Anke Walz, Kutztown University of Pennsylvania Scott Wilde, Baylor University James Wilson, Iowa State University Raymond Wong, University of California, Santa Barbara Bernardine R. Wong Cheng Kiat, University of Malaya Teri Woodington, Colorado School of Mines Gordon Woodward, University of Nebraska-Lincoln Haidong Wu, University of Mississippi Adil Yaqub, University of California, Santa Barbara Hong-Ming Yin, Washington State University, Pullman Paul Yun, El Camino College Jennifer Zhao, University of Michigan at Dearborn

TECHNOLOGY BOARD OF ADVISORS FOR THE THIRD EDITION

Roxanne Byrne, University of Colorado–Denver Judith Downey, University of Nebraska–Omaha Linda Duchrow, Regis University Mihail Frumosu, Boston University Mary Legner, *Riverside Community College* Mike Martin, *Johnson County Community College* Kim Rescorla, *Eastern Michigan University*

With many thanks to our previous editions' reviewer panels:

Alisher S. Abdullayev, American River College	David Anderson, University of Tennessee
Edward Aboufadel, Grand Valley State	Michael R. Anderson, West Virginia State
University	College
Shair Ahmad, University of Texas at San	Wilma Anderson, University of
Antonio	Nebraska–Omaha
Tom Akers, University of Missouri–Rolla	Tamas Antal, Ohio State University
Tuncay Aktosun, University of Texas at	Seth Armstrong, Arkansas State University
Arlington	Leon Arriola, Western New Mexico
Gerardo Aladro, Florida International	University
University	Nuh Aydin, Ohio State University
Ariyadasa Aluthge, Marshall University	Prem N. Bajaj, Wichita State University

Robert Bakula, Ohio State University Robert Beezer, University of Puget Sound Rachel Belinsky, Morris Brown College Neil Berger, University of Illinois Chris Black, Seattle University Karen Bolinger, Clarion University of Pennsylvania Mike Bonnano, Suffolk Community College Robert Brabenec, Wheaton College George Bradley, Duquesne University Dave Bregenzer, Utah State University C. Allen Brown, Wabash Valley College Linda K. Buchanan, Howard College James Caggiano, Arkansas State University Jorge Alberto Calvo, North Dakota State University James T. Campbell, University of Memphis Jianguo Cao, University of Notre Dame Florin Catrina, Utah State University Deanna M. Caveny, College of Charleston Maurice J. Chabot, University of Southern Maine Wai Yuen Chan, University of Science and Arts of Oklahoma Mei-Chu Chang, University of California-Riverside Benito Chen, University of Wyoming Karin Chess, Owensboro Community College Moody Chu, North Carolina State University Raymond Clapsadle, University of Memphis Dominic P. Clemence, North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University Barbara Cortzen, DePaul University Julane B. Crabtree, Johnson County Community College Ellen Cunningham, Saint Mary-of-the-Woods College Daniel J. Curtin, Northern Kentucky University Sujay Datta, Northern Michigan University Gregory Davis, University of Wisconsin-Green Bay Joe Diestel, Kent State University Shusen Ding, Seattle University Michael Dorff, University of Missouri-Rolla Michael M. Dougherty, Penn State Berks Judith Downey, University of Nebraska at Omaha Tevian Dray, Oregon State University Dan Drucker, University of Puget Sound Bennett Eisenberg, Lehigh University Alan Elcrat, Wichita State University

Sherif T. El-Helaly, Catholic University of America Eugene Enneking, Portland State University David L. Fama, Germanna Community College Judith Hanks Fethe, Pellissippi State Technical Community College Earl D. Fife, Calvin College Jose D. Flores, University of South Dakota Teresa Floyd, Mississippi College William P. Francis, Michigan Technological University Michael Frantz, University of LaVerne Chris Gardiner, Eastern Michigan University Charles H. Giffen, University of Virginia Kalpana Godbole, Michigan Technological University Michael Green, Metropolitan State University Harvey Greenwald, California Polytechnic State University Ronald Grimmer, Southern Illinois University Laxmi N. Gupta, Rochester Institute of Technology Joel K. Haack, University of Northern Iowa H. Allen Hamilton, Delaware State University John Hansen, Iowa Central Community College John Harding, New Mexico State University Mel Hausner, New York University John Haverhals, Bradley University Johnny Henderson, Auburn University Sue Henderson, Georgia Perimeter College Guy T. Hogan, Norfolk State University Robert Horvath, El Camino College Jack Howard, Clovis Community College Cornelia Wang Hsu, Morgan State University Shirley Huffman, Southwest Missouri State University Gail Kaufmann, Tufts University Hadi Kharaghani, University of Lethbridge (Alberta) Masato Kimura, College of William and Mary Robert Knott, University of Evansville Hristo V. Kojouharov, Arizona State University Emanuel Kondopirakis, Cooper Union Kathryn Kozak, Coconino County Community College Kevin Kreider, University of Akron Tor A. Kwembe, Chicago State University Joseph Lakey, New Mexico State University Melvin D. Lax, California State University-Long Beach

James W. Lea, Middle Tennessee State University John Lee, University of Kentucky William L. Lepowsky, Laney College Fengshan Liu, Delaware State University Yung-Chen Lu, Ohio State University Stephen A. MacDonald, University of Southern Maine John Maginnis, Kansas State University Michael Maller, *Queens College* Nicholas A. Martin, Shepherd College Paul A. Martin, University of Wisconsin Colleges Alex Martin McAllister, Centre College Daniel McCallum, University of Arkansas at Little Rock Philip McCartney, Northern Kentucky University Michael J. McConnell, Clarion University of Pennsvlvania Chris McCord, University of Cincinnati David McKay, California State University, Long Beach Aaron Melman, University of San Francisco Gordon Melrose, Old Dominion University Richard Mercer, Wright State University Scott Metcalf, Eastern Kentucky University Remigijus Mikulevicius, University of Southern California Allan D. Mills, Tennessee Technological University Jeff Mock, Diablo Valley College Mike Montano, Riverside Community College Laura Moore-Mueller, Green River Community College Shahrooz Moosavizadeh, Norfolk State University Kandasamy Muthevel, University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh Kouhestani Nader, Prairie View A & M University Sergey Nikitin, Arizona State University Terry A. Nyman, University of Wisconsin-Fox Valley Altay Özgener, Elizabethtown Community College Christina Pereyra, University of New Mexico Bent E. Petersen, Oregon State University Cyril Petras, Lord Fairfax Community College Donna Pierce, Washington State University Jim Polito, North Harris College

Yiu Tong Poon, Iowa State University Linda Powers, Virginia Tech Evelyn Pupplo-Cody, Marshall University Anthony Quas, University of Memphis Doraiswamy Ramachandran, California State University-Sacramento William C. Ramaley, Fort Lewis College W. Ramasinghage, Ohio State University M. Rama Mohana Rao, University of Texas at San Antonio Nandita Rath, Arkansas Tech University S. Barbara Reynolds, Cardinal Stritch University Joe Rody, Arizona State University Errol Rowe, North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University Harry M. Schey, Rochester Institute of Technology Charles Seebeck, Michigan State University George L. Selitto, Iona College Shagi-Di Shih, University of Wyoming Mehrdad Simkani, University of Michigan-Flint Eugenia A. Skirta, University of Toledo Rod Smart, University of Wisconsin-Madison Alex Smith, University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire Scott Smith, Columbia College Frederick Solomon, Warren Wilson College V. K. Srinivasan, University of Texas at El Paso Mary Jane Sterling, Bradley University Adam Stinchcombe, Adirondack Community College Jerry Stonewater, Miami University of Ohio Jeff Stuart, University of Southern Mississippi D'Loye Swift, Nunez Community College Randall J. Swift, Western Kentucky University Lawrence Sze, California Polytechnic State University Wanda Szpunar-Lojasiewicz, Rochester Institute of Technology Fereja Tahir, Eastern Kentucky University J. W. Thomas, Colorado State University Juan Tolosa, Richard Stockton College of New Jersev Michael M. Tom, Louisiana State University William K. Tomhave, Concordia College Stefania Tracogna, Arizona State University Jay Treiman, Western Michigan University Patricia Treloar, University of Mississippi Thomas C. Upson, Rochester Institute of Technology

Richard G. Vinson, University of South Alabama	Michael Wilson, University of Vermont
David Voss, Western Illinois University	Jim Wolper, Idaho State University
Mu-Tao Wang, Stanford University	Jiahong Wu, University of Texas at Austin
Paul Weichsel, University of Illinois	DaGang Yang, Tulane University
Richard A. Weida, Lycoming College	Marvin Zeman, Southern Illinois University
Michael Weiner, Penn State Altoona	Xiao-Dong Zhang, Florida Atlantic University
Alan Wilson, Kaskaskia College	Jiangiang Zhao, University of Pennsylvania

In addition, a number of our colleagues graciously gave their time and energy to help create or improve portions of the manuscript. We would especially like to thank Richard Grant, Bill Ergle, Jack Steehler, Ben Huddle, Chris Lee and Jan Minton of Roanoke College for sharing their expertise in calculus and related applications; Tom Burns for help with an industrial application; Gregory Minton and James Albrecht for suggesting several brilliant problems; Dorothee Blum of Millersville University for helping to class-test an early version of the manuscript; Bruce Ikenaga of Millersville University for generously sharing his expertise in TeX and Corel Draw and Pam Vercellone-Smith, for lending us her expertise in many of the biological applications. We also wish to thank Dorothee Blum, Bob Buchanan, Roxana Costinescu, Chuck Denlinger, Bruce Ikenaga, Zhoude Shao and Ron Umble of Millersville University for offering numerous helpful suggestions for improvement. In addition, we would like to thank all of our students throughout the years, who have (sometimes unknowingly) field-tested innumerable ideas, some of which worked and the rest of which will not be found in this book.

Ultimately, this book is for our families. We simply could not have written a book of this magnitude without their strong support. We thank them for their love and inspiration throughout our growth as textbook authors. Their understanding, in both the technical and the personal sense, was essential. They provide us with the reason why we do all of the things we do. So, it is fitting that we especially thank our wives, Pam Vercellone-Smith and Jan Minton; our children, Katie and Michael Smith and Kelly and Greg Minton; and our parents, Anne Smith and Paul and Mary Frances Minton.

Robert T. Smith Lancaster, Pennsylvania

> Roland B. Minton Salem, Virginia

A COMMITMENT TO ACCURACY

You have a right to expect an accurate textbook, and McGraw-Hill invests considerable time and effort to make sure that we deliver one. Listed below are the many steps we take to make sure this happens.

OUR ACCURACY VERIFICATION PROCESS

First Round

Step 1: Numerous **college math instructors** review the manuscript and report on any errors that they may find, and the authors make these corrections in their final manuscript.

Second Round

Step 2: Once the manuscript has been typeset, the **authors** check their manuscript against the first page proofs to ensure that all illustrations, graphs, examples, exercises, solutions, and answers have been correctly laid out on the pages, and that all notation is correctly used.

Step 3: An outside, **professional mathematician** works through every example and exercise in the page proofs to verify the accuracy of the answers.

Step 4: A **proofreader** adds a triple layer of accuracy assurance in the first pages by hunting for errors, then a second, corrected round of page proofs is produced.

Third Round

Step 5: The **author team** reviews the second round of page proofs for two reasons: 1) to make certain that any previous corrections were properly made, and 2) to look for any errors they might have missed on the first round.

Step 6: A **second proofreader** is added to the project to examine the new round of page proofs to double check the author team's work and to lend a fresh, critical eye to the book before the third round of paging.

Fourth Round

Step 7: A **third proofreader** inspects the third round of page proofs to verify that all previous corrections have been properly made and that there are no new or remaining errors.

Step 8: Meanwhile, in partnership with **independent mathematicians**, the text accuracy is verified from a variety of fresh perspectives:

- The **test bank author** checks for consistency and accuracy as they prepare the computerized test item file.
- The **solutions manual author** works every single exercise and verifies their answers, reporting any errors to the publisher.
- A **consulting group of mathematicians,** who write material for the text's MathZone site, notifies the publisher of any errors they encounter in the page proofs.
- A video production company employing **expert math instructors** for the text's videos will alert the publisher of any errors they might find in the page proofs.

Final Round

Step 9: The **project manager**, who has overseen the book from the beginning, performs a **fourth proofread** of the textbook during the printing process, providing a final accuracy review.

⇒ What results is a mathematics textbook that is as accurate and error-free as is humanly possible, and our authors and publishing staff are confident that our many layers of quality assurance have produced textbooks that are the leaders of the industry for their integrity and correctness.





TOOLS FOR LEARNING

Real-World Emphasis

Each chapter opens with a real-world application that illustrates the usefulness of the concepts being developed and motivates student interest.

Parametric Equations and Polar Coordinates

CHAPTER



You are all familiar with sonic booms, those loud crashes of noise caused by aircraft flying faster than the speed of sound. You may have even heard a sonic boom, but you have probably never *seen* a sonic boom. The remarkable photograph here shows water vapor outlining the surface of a shock wave created by an F-18 jet flying supersonically. (Note that there is also a small cone of water vapor trailing the back of the cockpit of the jet.)

You may be surprised at the apparently conical shape assumed by the shock waves. A mathematical analysis of the shock waves verifies that the shape is indeed conical. (You will have an opportunity to explore

this in the exercises in section 9.1.) To visualize how sound waves propagate, imagine an exploding firecracker. If you think of this in two dimensions, you'll recognize that the sound waves propagate in a series of ever-expanding concentric circles that reach everyone standing a given distance away from the firecracker at the same time.

In this chapter, we extend the concepts of calculus to curves described by parametric equations and polar coordinates. For instance, in order to study the motion of an object such as an airplane in two dimensions, we would need to describe the object's position (x, y) as a function of the parameter t (time). That is, we write the position in the form (x, y) = (x(t), y(t)), where x(t) and y(t) are functions to which our existing techniques of calculus can be applied. The equations x = x(t) and y = y(t) are called parametric equations. Additionally, we'll explore how to use polar coordinates to represent curves, not as a set of points (x, y), but rather, by specifying the points by the distance from the origin to the point and an angle corresponding to the direction from the origin to the point. Polar coordinates are especially convenient for describing circles, such as those that occur in propagating sound waves.

These alternative descriptions of curves bring us a great deal of needed flexibility in attacking many problems. Often, even very complicated looking curves have a simple description in terms of parametric equations or polar coordinates. We explore a variety of interesting curves in this chapter and see how to extend the methods of calculus to such curves.

Definitions, Theorems and Proofs

All formal definitions and theorems are clearly boxed within the text for easy visual reference. Proofs are clearly labeled. Proofs of some results are found in Appendix A.



Examples

Each chapter contains a large number of worked examples, ranging from the simple and concrete to more complex and abstract.

Use of Graphs and Tables

Being able to visualize a problem is an invaluable aid in understanding the concept presented. To this purpose, we have integrated more than 1500 computer-generated graphs throughout the text.

Point	(0, 0)	$\left(-\tfrac{1}{6},-\tfrac{1}{3}\right)$
$f_{xx} = 4$	4	4
$f_{yy} = -6y$	0	-2
$f_{xy} = -2$	-2	-2
D(a, b)	-4	4

and

and





EXAMPLE 7.2 Using the Discriminant to Find Local Extrema

Locate and classify all critical points for $f(x, y) = 2x^2 - y^3 - 2xy$.

0 :

D

Solution We first compute the first partial derivatives: $f_x = 4x - 2y$ and $f_y = -3y^2 - 2x$. Since both f_x and f_y are defined for all (x, y), the critical points are solutions of the two equations:

$$f_x = 4x - 2y = 0$$

$$f_y = -3y^2 - 2x = 0.$$

Solving the first equation for y, we get y = 2x. Substituting this into the second equation, we have

$$= -3(4x^{2}) - 2x = -12x^{2} - 2x$$

= -2x(6x + 1),

so that x = 0 or $x = -\frac{1}{6}$. The corresponding y-values are y = 0 and $y = -\frac{1}{3}$. The only two critical points are then (0, 0) and $\left(-\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{3}\right)$. To classify these points, we first compute the second partial derivatives: $f_{xx} = 4$, $f_{yy} = -6y$ and $f_{xy} = -2$ and then test the discriminant. We have

$$(0, 0) = (4)(0) - (-2)^2 = -4$$

$$D\left(-\frac{1}{6},-\frac{1}{3}\right) = (4)(2) - (-2)^2 = 4$$

From Theorem 7.2, we conclude that there is a saddle point of f at (0, 0), since D(0, 0) < 0. Further, there is a local minimum at $\left(-\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{3}\right)$ since $D\left(-\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{3}\right) > 0$ and $f_{xx}\left(-\frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{3}\right) > 0$. The surface is shown in Figure 12.42.

As we see in example 7.3, the second derivatives test does not always help us to classify a critical point.

COMMENTARY AND GUIDANCE

Beyond Formulas

Beyond Formulas boxes appear in every chapter to encourage students to think mathematically and go beyond routine answer calculation.

BEYOND FORMULAS

The Mean Value Theorem is subtle, but its implications are far-reaching. Although the illustration in Figure 2.49 makes the result seem obvious, the consequences of the Mean Value Theorem, such as example 9.4, are powerful and not at all obvious. For example, most of the rest of the calculus developed in this book depends on the Mean Value Theorem either directly or indirectly. A thorough understanding of the theory of calculus can lead you to important conclusions, particularly when the problems are beyond what your intuition alone can handle. What other theorems have you learned that continue to provide insight beyond their original context?

TODAY IN MATHEMATICS

Cathleen Morawetz (1923–) A Canadian mathematician whose work on transonic flows and wave scattering greatly influenced the design of air foils. Both of her parents were mathematically trained, but her mathematics

Today in Mathematics

Today in Mathematics boxes appear in every chapter, showing students that mathematics is a dynamic discipline with many discoveries continually being made by people inspired by the beauty of the subject.



HISTORICAL NOTES

Johannes Kepler (1571–1630) German astronomer and

mathematician whose discoveries revolutionized Western science. Kepler's remarkable mathematical ability and energy produced connections among many areas of research. A study of observations

Historical Notes

These biographical features provide background information on prominent mathematicians and their contributions to the development of calculus and put the subject matter into perspective.

CONCEPTUAL UNDERSTANDING THROUGH PRACTICE

Applications

The text provides numerous and varied applications that relate calculus to the real world, many of which are unique to the Smith/ Minton series. Worked examples and exercises are frequently developed with an applied focus in order to motivate the presentation of new topics, further illustrate familiar topics, and connect the conceptual development of calculus with students' everyday experiences.

EXAMPLE 1.6 Steering an Aircraft in a Head Wind and a Crosswind

An airplane has an airspeed of 400 mph. Suppose that the wind velocity is given by the vector $\mathbf{w} = \langle 20, 30 \rangle$. In what direction should the airplane head in order to fly due west (i.e., in the direction of the unit vector $-\mathbf{i} = \langle -1, 0 \rangle$)?

Solution We illustrate the velocity vectors for the airplane and the wind in Figure 10.14. We let the airplane's velocity vector be $\mathbf{v} = \langle x, y \rangle$. The effective velocity of the plane is then $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}$, which we set equal to $\langle c, 0 \rangle$, for some negative constant *c*. Since

 $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} = \langle x + 20, y + 30 \rangle = \langle c, 0 \rangle,$



FIGURE 10.14 Forces on an airplane.

we must have x + 20 = c and y + 30 = 0, so that y = -30. Further, since the plane's airspeed is 400 mph, we must have $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = \sqrt{x^2 + 900} = 400$. Squaring this gives us $x^2 + 900 = 160,000$, so that $x = -\sqrt{159,100}$. (We take the negative square root so that the plane heads westward.) Consequently, the plane should head in the direction of $\mathbf{v} = \langle -\sqrt{159,100}, -30 \rangle$, which points left and down, or southwest, at an angle of $\tan^{-1}(30/\sqrt{159,100}) \approx 4^\circ$ below due west.

33. A baseball is hit from a height of 3 feet with initial speed 120 feet per second and at an angle of 30 degrees above the horizontal. Find a vector-valued function describing the position of the ball *t* seconds after it is hit. To be a home run, the ball must clear a wall that is 385 feet away and 6 feet tall. Determine whether this is a home run.



Balanced Exercise Sets

This text contains thousands of exercises, found at the end of each section and chapter. Each problem set has been carefully designed to provide a balance of routine, moderate and challenging exercises. The authors have taken great care to create original and imaginative exercises that provide an appropriate review of the topics covered in each section and chapter.

Technology Icon

Exercises in the section exercise sets and chapter review exercises that can most easily be solved using a graphing calculator or computer are clearly identified with this icon: .

/	7	7	1	10.72
1	1	1	1	AZAN
-	1	1	1	1 2
-	-	•	•	
-	•-	•	\mathbf{s}_{i}	
N	ς.	x.	X.	1111
$\left \right\rangle$	1	X.	1	1111
$\left \right\rangle$	1	1	1	1111

42.

here exercises 43-52, use the formulas $m = \int_C \rho ds$, $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{m} \int_C x \rho ds$, $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{m} \int_C y \rho ds$, $I = \int_C w^2 \rho ds$.

- 43. Compute the mass *m* of a rod with density ρ(x, y) = x in the shape of y = x², 0 ≤ x ≤ 3.
- **44.** Compute the mass *m* of a rod with density $\rho(x, y) = y$ in the shape of $y = 4 x^2$, $0 \le x \le 2$.
- **45.** Compute the center of mass (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) of the rod of exercise 43.
- **46.** Compute the center of mass (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) of the rod of exercise 44.
- 47. Compute the moment of inertia *I* for rotating the rod of exercise 43 about the y-axis. Here, w is the distance from the point (x, y) to the y-axis.
- 48. Compute the moment of inertia *I* for rotating the rod of exercise 44 about the *x*-axis. Here, *w* is the distance from the point (*x*, *y*) to the *x*-axis.

- **56.** Above the portion of $y = x^2$ from (0, 0, 0) to (2, 4, 0) up to the surface $z = x^2 + y^2$
 - **57.** Above the line segment from (2, 0, 0) to (-2, 0, 0) up to the surface $z = 4 x^2 y^2$
- **58.** Above the line segment from (1, 1, 0) to (-1, 1, 0) up to the surface $z = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$
 - **59.** Above the unit square $x \in [0, 1]$, $y \in [0, 1]$ up to the plane z = 4 x y
- **60.** Above the ellipse $x^2 + 4y^2 = 4$ up to the plane z = 4 x

In exercises 61 and 62, estimate the line integrals (a) $\int_C f \, ds$, (b) $\int_C f \, dx$ and (c) $\int_C f \, dy$.

I.	(x, y)	(0, 0)	(1,0)	(1, 1)	(1.5, 1.5)
	f(x, y)	2	3	3.6	4.4
	(x, y)	(2, 2)	(3, 2)	(4, 1)	
	f(x, y)	5	4	4	
•	(x, y)	(0, 0)	(1, -1)	(2, 0)	(3, 1)
	f(x, y)	1	0	-1.2	0.4
				(2)	2)
	(x, y)	(4, 0)	(3, -1)	(2, -	2)

EXERCISES 5.5 Q

Writing Exercises

Each section exercise set begins with writing exercises that encourage students to carefully consider important mathematical concepts and ideas in new contexts, and to express their findings in their own words. The writing exercises may also be used as springboards for class discussion.

WRITING EXERCISES

- In example 5.6, the assumption that air resistance can be ignored is obviously invalid. Discuss the validity of this assumption in examples 5.1 and 5.3.
- 2. In the discussion preceding example 5.3, we showed that Michael Jordan (and any other human) spends half of his airtime in the top one-fourth of the height. Compare his velocities at various points in the jump to explain why relatively more time is spent at the top than at the bottom.
- 3. In example 5.4, we derived separate equations for the horizontal and vertical components of position. To discover one consequence of this separation, consider the following situation. Two people are standing next to each other with arms raised to the same height. One person fires a bullet horizontally from a gun. At the same time, the other person drops a bullet. Explain why the bullets will hit the ground at the same time.
- **4.** For the falling raindrop in example 5.6, a more accurate model would be y''(t) = -32 + f(t), where f(t) represents the force due to air resistance (divided by the mass). If v(t) is the downward velocity of the raindrop, explain why this equation is equivalent to v'(t) = 32 f(t). Explain in physical terms why the larger v(t) is, the larger f(t) is. Thus, a model such as f(t) = v(t) or $f(t) = [v(t)]^2$ would be reasonable. (In most situations, it turns out that $[v(t)]^2$ matches the experimental data better.)

- The Washington Monument is 555 feet, 5¹/₈ inches high. In a famous experiment, a baseball was dropped from the top of the monument to see if a player could catch it. How fast would the ball be going?
- 9. A certain not-so-wily coyote discovers that he just stepped off the edge of a cliff. Four seconds later, he hits the ground in a puff of dust. How high was the cliff?
- 10. A large boulder dislodged by the falling coyote in exercise 9 falls for 3 seconds before landing on the coyote. How far did the boulder fall? What was its velocity when it flattened the coyote?
- 11. The coyote's next scheme involves launching himself into the air with an Acme catapult. If the coyote is propelled vertically from the ground with initial velocity 64 ft/s, find an equation for the height of the coyote at any time *t*. Find his maximum height, the amount of time spent in the air and his velocity when he smacks back into the catapult.
- 12. On the rebound, the coyote in exercise 11 is propelled to a height of 256 feet. What is the initial velocity required to reach this height?
- 13. One of the authors has a vertical "jump" of 20 inches. What is the initial velocity required to jump this high? How does this compare to Michael Jordan's velocity, found in example 5.3?

Exploratory Exercises

Each section exercise set concludes with a series of in-depth exploratory exercises designed to challenge students' knowledge.



- 49. For tennis rackets, a large second moment (see exercises 47 and 48) means less twisting of the racket on off-center shots. Compare the second moment of a wooden racket (a = 9, b = 12, w = 0.5), amidsize racket (a = 10, b = 13, w = 0.5) and an oversized racket (a = 11, b = 14, w = 0.5).
- **50.** Let *M* be the second moment found in exercise 48. Show that $\frac{dM}{dM} > 0$ and conclude that larger rackets have larger second

moments. Also, show that $\frac{dM}{dw} > 0$ and interpret this result.

EXPLORATORY EXERCISES

 As equipment has improved, heights cleared in the pole vault have increased. A crude estimate of the maximum pole vault possible can be derived from conservation of energy principles. Assume that the maximum speed a pole-vaulter could run carrying a long pole is 25 mph. Convert this speed to ft/s. The kinetic energy of this vaulter would be $\frac{1}{2}mv^2$. (Leave *m* as an unknown for the time being.) This initial kinetic energy would equal the potential energy at the top of the vault minus whatever energy is absorbed by the pole (which we will ignore). Set the potential energy, 32*mh*, equal to the kinetic energy advector *h*. This represents the maximum amount the vaulter's center of mass could be raised. Add 3 feet for the height of the waitler's center of mass and you have an estimate of the maximum vault possible. Compare this to Sergei Bubka's 1994 world record vault of 201 $\frac{1}{2}^{n}$.

2. An object will remain on a table as long as the center of mass of the object lies over the table. For example, a board of length 1 will balance if half the board hangs over the edge of the table. Show that two homogeneous boards of length 1 will balance if ¹/₄ of the first board hangs over the edge of the table and ¹/₂ of the second board hangs over the edge of the table and ¹/₄ of the first board hangs over the edge of the table and ¹/₂ of the second board hangs over the edge of the table, ¹/₄ of the first board hangs over the edge of the table, ¹/₄ of the second board hangs over the edge of the table, ¹/₄ of the second board hangs over the edge of the second board. Generalize this to a procedure for balancing *n* boards. How many boards are needed so that the last board hangs completely over the edge of the table?



Review Exercises

Ø4

Review Exercises

Review Exercises sets are provided as an overview of the chapter and also include Writing Exercises, True or False, and Exploratory Exercises.

WRITING EXERCISES

The following list includes terms that are defined and theorems that are stated in this chapter. For each term or theorem, (1) give a precise definition or statement, (2) state in general terms what it means and (3) describe the types of problems with which it is associated.

Partial sum

Integral Test

Test

Root Test

Taylor series

Fourier series

Sequence
Infinite series
Series diverges
Harmonic series
Comparison Test
Conditional
convergence
Ratio Test
Radius of
convergence
Taylor's Theorem

Limit of sequence Squeeze Theorem Series converges Geometric series kth-term test for divergence Limit Comparison p-Series Alternating Series Test Absolute convergence Alternating harmonic series Power series Taylor polynomial

- 10. A series with all negative terms cannot be conditionally convergent.
- 11. If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|$ diverges, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ diverges.
- 12. A series may be integrated term-by-term and the interval of convergence will remain the same.
- 13. A Taylor series of a function f is simply a power series representation of f.
- 14. The more terms in a Taylor polynomial, the better the approximation.
- **15.** The Fourier series of x^2 converges to x^2 for all x.

81. $f(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } -1 < x \le 0\\ 1 & \text{if } 0 < x \le 1 \end{cases}$ 82. $f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -2 < x \le 0 \\ x & \text{if } 0 < x \le 2 \end{cases}$

- 83. Suppose you and your friend take turns tossing a coin. The first one to get a head wins. Obviously, the person who goes first has an advantage, but how much of an advantage is it? If you go first, the probability that you win on your first toss is $\frac{1}{2}$, the probability that you win on your second toss is $\frac{1}{8}$, the probability that you win on your third toss is $\frac{1}{32}$ and so on. Sum a geometric series to find the probability that you win.
- 84. In a game similar to that of exercise 83, the first one to roll a 4 on a six-sided die wins. Is this game more fair than the previous game? The probabilities of winning on the first, second and third roll are $\frac{1}{6}$, $\frac{25}{216}$ and $\frac{625}{7776}$, respectively. Sum a geometric series to find the probability that you win.
- **85.** Recall the Fibonacci sequence defined by $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = 1, a_2 = 2$ and $a_{n+1} = a_n + a_{n-1}$. Prove the following fact: $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$. (This number, known to the

other occurrence of the golden ratio. Viscount Brouncker, a seventeenth-century English mathematician, showed that the sequence $1 + \frac{1^2}{2}$, $1 + \frac{1^2}{2 + \frac{5^2}{2}}$, $1 + \frac{1^2}{2 + \frac{3^2}{2 + \frac{5^2}{2}}}$ and so on, con-

verges to $\frac{4}{\pi}$. (See A History of Pi by Petr Beckmann.) Explore this sequence numerically.

88. For the power series $\frac{1}{1-x-x^2} = c_1 + c_2 x + c_3 x^2 + \cdots$, show that the constants c_i are the Fibonacci numbers. Substitute $x = \frac{1}{1000}$ to find the interesting decimal representation for $\frac{1,000,000}{998,999}$

EXPLORATORY EXERCISES

1. The challenge here is to determine $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k(k+1)}$ as completely as possible. Start by finding the interval of convergence. Find the sum for the special cases (a) x = 0 and (b) x = 1. For 0 < x < 1, do the following: (c) Rewrite the series using the

Applications Index

Biology/Natural World

Alligator gender, 108 Ambient temperature, 569 Bacterial growth, 48-49, 566-568 Birth and death rates to population change, 154 Butterfly effect, 140-141 Carbon dating, 565-566 Cell division, 58 Census, 7 Circumference of the earth, 47 Cricket chirp rate, 20 Disease symptomology, 34 Fibonacci sequence, 624 Garden layout, 308-309 Gene activation, 597 Golden ration, 712 Gravitation, 241-242, 344, 778, 793 Infant mortality, 509 Logistic growth, 581–584 Nautilus shell, I Paleontology, 565-566 Population density, 581 Population doomsday model, 586 Population explosion, 624 Population growth, 154 Population in U.S., 57-58, 214, 293 Predator-prey systems, 599-601 Size of pupils, 116–117 Speed of humans, 158 Study of the sun, 241-242 Synchrony, 428 Wind velocity, 793

Chemistry

Allosteric enzyme, 188 Boiling point of water, 19 Bond angle of methane, 812 Carbon-14 dating, 565–566 Chemical concentration, 212–213 Drug dosage, 33 Drug sensitivity, 143 Equivalence point, 402 pH of solution, 60 Potassium-argon dating, 576–577 Rate of autocatalytic reaction, 331, 338, 608 Second-order reaction, 585 Titration, 332 Van der Waals' equation, 188, 216, 219

Economics

Advertising costs to sale's ratio, 323 Average cost, 295, 328, 329 Average percentage yield, 206 Compound interest, 208, 214, 571-573, 583 Consumer surplus, 392, 440 Coupon collecting, 647 Debt, 335 Depreciation of assets, 572-573 Elasticity of demand, 329 Future value, 576 Gini index, 368-369 Gross domestic product, 369 Highway construction costs, 315 Income elasticity of demand, 336 Investment rate of change, 208 Investment strategies, 583-584 Just-in-time inventory, 343

Lorentz curve, 368 Marginal cost, 328 Marginal cost analysis, 328 Marginal profit, 336 Multiplier effect, 635 Present value, 576, 635 Price vector, 814 Producer surplus, 440 Production cost minimization, 329 Rate of change of investment, 208 Rate of change of revenue, 185 Revenue rate of change, 185 Rule of 72, 576 Sales vector, 47, 814 Tax tables, 103–104

Engineering

(EPA) Fuel efficiency rating, 168, 188 Airplane steering, 703 Bezier curves, 734 Box construction, 310 Brachistochrone, 546, 737, 738 Buckling of beam, 253 Can design, 313 Car driving strategy, 785 Car suspensions, 209 Current, 333-334 Digital photography, 709 Digital technology, 611–612 Electric dipole, 671 Electrical circuit rate of change, 202 Electrical circuits, 509-510 Energy spectrum, 708 Entropy, 276 Falling ladder arc length, 740 Flashlight design, 767 Force on dam, 491-493 Frequency modulation (FM) radio, 307 Graphing calculators, 20-27 Guitar string tension, 326 Hanging cable shape, 467 Height of building, 45 Hydrostatic forces on a dam, 492 Image processing, 709 Irrigation ditch, 225 Lagrange points, 241, 255 Music synthesis, 705–706 Nuclear cooling towers, 848

Oil spill radius, 321 Piano tuning, 47, 708 Pivot point, 488-489 Resonance, 708 Robot vision, 780 Root-mean-square voltage, 47 Saddledome design, 847-848 Saint Louis Gateway Arch, 56 Satellite dish, 766-767 Size of soda can, 313 SOHO satellite, 241–242 Space shuttle, 344 Speedometer, 155 Volume of dome, 445-446 Wine cask design, 227, 320 Work to pump water out of tank, 486-487

Health/Physiology

Bifurcation points of disease, 239 Disease symptomology, 34 Drug dosage, 33 Drug sensitivity, 337 Electrocardiogram, 29 Epidemiology, 338 Focus on moving objects, 143, 223-224 Gene activation, 597 Height distribution, 497-501 HIV infection, 338, 383, 392 Infant mortality, 509 Kidney stones, 770 Peak speed of humans, 145-146, 158 Pneumotachograph data, 414 Viewing angle to painting, 223-224

Physics

Carbon-14 dating, 565–566 Chaos theory, 143 Circumference of earth, 47 Closing speed, 322–323 Coefficient of restitution, 188, 361, 625 Crosswinds, 793 Damping, 202 Decibel level, 60 Drag force, 478, 793 Einstein's relativity, 119 Escape velocity, 119

Flight paths, 721 Forces acting on aircraft, 793 Friction, 108 Hooke's law, 485 Hydrostatic force, 442, 491-493 Hyperbolic mirrors, 772 Impulse of baseball, 488 Impulse-momentum equation, 488 Kepler's law, 778-779 Magnus force, 478, 824-825 Mass density, 332 Missile intercept, 721 Newton's law of cooling, 569-570 Newton's law of gravity, 344, 778 Parabolic mirror, 845-846 Planck's law, 694 Planetary motion, 733, 778–779 Projectile motion, 472-479 Radar measurement of speed, 322-323 Radioactive decay, 568-569 Rayleigh-Jeans law, 694 Reflecting telescopes, 845-846 Shockwave lithotripsy, 770 Skydiver forces, 793 Skydiver velocity at impact, 473-474 Sonic boom, 715 Sound barrier, 715 Speed of light, 119 Spring-mass system, 120, 196, 202 Spring-mass system velocity, 202 Temperature effect on light, 158 Terminal velocity, 369-370, 586 Theory of relativity, 119 Torque, 823-824 Trojan asteroids, 242, 255 Work done stretching a spring, 485

Sports/Entertainment

Average speed, 151–154 Backspin, 824–825

Baseball angle of throw, 238 Baseball pitch, 84 Basketball free throw, 120-121 Catching a fly ball, 47 Coefficient of restitution, 625 Coin toss, 496-497 Crowd noise, 60 Curveball, 825 Design of baseball cover, 478 Digital photography, 709 Digital technology, 611–612 Ferris wheel, 725 Garden design, 308-309 Golf ball spin, 20 Golf putting, 754 Golf right-hand shot, 825 Guitar string tension, 326 Human cannonballs, 725 Impulse for a baseball, 488 Keeping eye on ball, 143, 223-224 Knuckleball, 84, 478-479 Marathon, 145 Mass of a baseball bat, 490 Music synthesizer, 705–706 NASCAR track, 785 Net force on skydiver, 793 Piano tuning, 47, 708 Scrambler, 726-727, 729-730 Skiing, 737–738 Slingshot, 146, 225 Speed limit, 85 Speed of human, 145-146, 158 Speed skydiving, 179 Spinning ball, 824-825 Sports analysis, 185–186 Sweet spot of baseball bat, 491 Tennis ball energy efficiency, 437 VCRs, 158 Velocity of diver, 473-474 Wagon pulling, 810-811 Weightlifting, 485–486